

DFARS Procedures, Guidance, and Information

PGI 201—Federal Acquisition Regulations System

(Revised September 8, 2010)

PGI 201.1--PURPOSE, AUTHORITY, ISSUANCE

PGI 201.109 Statutory acquisition-related dollar thresholds – adjustment for inflation.

Statutory acquisition-related dollar thresholds are reviewed every 5 years to calculate adjustment for inflation, as required by Section 807 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375). The matrix showing the most recent escalation adjustments of statutory acquisition-related dollar thresholds in the DFARS is available [here](#).

PGI 201.170 Peer Reviews.

PGI 201.170-1 Objective of Peer Reviews.

The objective of Peer Reviews is to—

- (a) Ensure that DoD contracting officers are implementing policy and regulations in a consistent and appropriate manner;
- (b) Continue to improve the quality of contracting processes throughout DoD; and
- (c) Facilitate cross-sharing of best practices and lessons learned throughout DoD.

PGI 201.170-2 Pre-award Peer Reviews.

(a) Pre-award Peer Reviews for competitive acquisitions shall be conducted prior to each of the following three phases of the acquisition:

- (1) Issuance of the solicitation.
- (2) Request for final proposal revisions (if applicable).
- (3) Contract award.

(b) Pre-award Peer Reviews for non-competitive acquisitions shall be conducted prior to each of the following two phases of the acquisition:

- (1) Negotiation.
- (2) Contract award.

DFARS Procedures, Guidance, and Information

PGI 201—Federal Acquisition Regulations System

PGI 201.170-3 Post-award Peer Reviews of service contracts.

(a) If the base period of performance is greater than one year, the first post-award Peer Review should take place at the mid-point of the base period of performance. If the base period of performance is one year or less, the post-award Peer Review should occur prior to exercise of the first option year. Post-award Peer Reviews should occur prior to every option period thereafter.

(b) Post-award Peer Reviews shall be focused on—

- (1) The adequacy of competition;
- (2) An assessment of actual contract performance; and
- (3) The adequacy of Government surveillance of contract performance.

PGI 201.170-4 Administration of Peer Reviews.

(a) The results and recommendations that are products of Peer Reviews are intended to be advisory in nature. Reviews will be conducted in a manner that preserves the authority, judgment, and discretion of the contracting officer and the senior officials of the acquiring activity.

(b) Peer Review teams will be comprised of senior contracting officials and attorneys from throughout DoD. Teams will include civilian employees or military personnel external to the department, agency, or component that is the subject of the Peer Review.

(c) Generally, each review will be conducted at the location of the executing contracting organization.

(d) A list of the documents that must be made available to the review team, along with the specific elements the team will examine, is provided at the end of this PGI section.

(e) The review team observations and recommendations will be communicated to the contracting officer and the senior procurement official immediately upon completion of a review.

(f) The contracting officer shall document the disposition of all Peer Review recommendations (i.e., state whether the recommendation will be followed and, if not, why not) as a memorandum for the record in the applicable contract file, prior to contract award (or prior to the exercise of an option for post-award Peer Reviews), and shall provide a copy of the memorandum to: Deputy Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy (Contract Policy and International Contracting), 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060.

DFARS Procedures, Guidance, and Information

PGI 201—Federal Acquisition Regulations System

Pre-award Peer Reviews Required Documents and Elements

Required Documents: At a minimum, Peer Review teams shall have access to the following documents (as applicable):

1. The requirements document;
2. The acquisition strategy, or acquisition plan;
3. The source selection plan;
4. The initial Request for Proposals (RFP) and all amendments to include what, if any, RFP requirements (technical and contractual) were changed and why;
5. The Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) analysis and findings to ensure the evaluation of offers was consistent with the Source Selection Plan and RFP criteria;
6. Any meeting minutes memorializing discussions between the Government and offerors;
7. All evaluation notices generated as a result of deficiencies in the offerors' proposals as well as the offerors' responses to those evaluation notices;
8. All minutes memorializing the conduct of Source Selection Advisory Council (SSAC) deliberations held to date;
9. The offerors' responses to the request for Final Proposal Revision;
10. The final SSAC deliberations;
11. The final SSA determination and source selection decision;
12. Award/incentive fee arrangements, documentation of any required HCA D&Fs regarding non-availability of objective criteria;
13. Justification and Approval for use of non-competitive procedures; and
14. Documentation of pre-negotiation objectives, cost/price negotiation and the assessment of contractor risk in determining profit or fee.

Elements to be addressed:

1. The process was well understood by both Government and Industry;
2. Source Selection was carried out in accordance with the Source Selection Plan and RFP;
3. The SSEB evaluation was clearly documented;
4. The SSAC advisory panel recommendation was clearly documented;
5. The SSA decision was clearly derived from the conduct of the source selection process;
6. All source selection documentation is consistent with the Section M evaluation criteria; and
7. The business arrangement.

DFARS Procedures, Guidance, and Information

PGI 201—Federal Acquisition Regulations System

Post-award Peer Reviews Required Documents and Elements
--

Required Documents: At a minimum, Peer Review teams shall have access to the following documents (as applicable):

1. The requirements document;
2. The business arrangement, including business case analysis;
3. Market research documentation;
4. The business clearance, including documentation of cost/price negotiation and the assessment of contractor risk in determining profit or fee.
5. Contractor surveillance documentation to include metrics, quality assurance surveillance plans; and
6. The contract and modifications thereof.

Elements to be addressed, at a minimum, in every post-award review:

1. Contract performance in terms of cost, schedule, and requirements;
2. Use of contracting mechanisms, including the use of competition, the contract structure and type, the definition of contract requirements, cost or pricing methods, the award and negotiation of task orders, and management and oversight mechanisms;
3. Contractor's use, management, and oversight of subcontractors;
4. Staffing of contract management and oversight functions; and
5. Extent of any pass-throughs, and excessive pass-through charges by the contractor (as defined in section 852 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007, Public Law 109-364).
6. Steps taken to mitigate the risk that, as implemented and administered, non-personal services contracts may become de facto personal services contracts.

Elements to be addressed in post-award reviews of contracts under which one contractor provides oversight for services performed by other contractors:

1. Extent of the DoD component's reliance on the contractor to perform acquisition functions closely associated with inherently governmental functions as defined in 10 U.S.C. 2383(b)(3); and
2. The financial interest of any prime contractor performing acquisition functions described in paragraph (1) in any contract or subcontract with regard to which the contractor provided advice or recommendations to the agency.